

Parish Council Responses to representations on the submission draft Lawshall Neighbourhood Plan Review September 2023

Respondent ref no.	Comment by and related to...	Parish Council (PC) Response
1	Suffolk County Council Local Green Spaces- LGS7 Harrow Green LGS8 Hanningfield Green LGS9 Hibbs Green	LGS8 Hanningfield Green - Westerly side is not included within the NHP. It is a rough verge without any special element. LGS7 Harrow Green, LGS9 Hibbs Green - Yes, they are wide verges, but they are important in supporting the biodiversity of Lawshall. During Spring and Summer these areas are a mass of wildflowers, supporting bees and butterflies and are enjoyed by residents who stop at the Jubilee bench.
1	Suffolk County Council LWL16 Design Considerations Paragraph 9.7	PC are happy for Suffolk Design Streets Guide to be mentioned.
2	Babergh District Council Chapter 1 Paragraph 1.15	PC apologise for the omission and are happy for their alternative wording (highlighted in red) to be included.
2	Babergh District Council Chapter 5 – Planning Strategy Lambs Lane / Harrow Green Settlement Boundary map	PC confirm this is a drafting error and that Harrow Green should be included in the settlement boundary.
2	Babergh District Council LWL 9 – Protection of Important Views View 21 (page 24) View 22 (page 25)	PC apologise for the oversight and accept the adjustments to views 21 and 22.
2	Babergh District Council LWL 9 – Protection of Important Views View 15 The Street to Frithy Wood	This view was not identified in the original Lawshall Neighbourhood Plan, but was added on the strong request of a resident from The Street to this one.
2	Babergh District Council LWL 10– Local Green Spaces	PC agrees. LGS 1 Foundry Meadow should read LWL 10–1. Yes please the pond should be included as originally. PC agree with the suggestion that the Green Light Trust building and adjacent car parking area be removed from the LGS designation, i.e., be separated out on the relevant maps.
2	Babergh District Council LGS 4: Hall Mead Open Space	The map with the whole of the green showing on either side of the road should be included.
2	Babergh District Council LGS 8: Hanningfield Green	PC agree the error noticed. It should read LWL 10-8.

2	Babergh District Council LGS 9: Hibbs Green	Yes it is correct that it is two sections- east and west.
2	Babergh District Council LWL 11– Protecting Existing Natural Environmental Assets Inset Map 2	Yes the hedgerow is a new addition, but it is ancient. The hedge in question was accidentally omitted from the original 2017 plan. PC wish for it to be included.
2	Babergh District Council LWL 11– Protecting Existing Natural Environmental Assets Inset Map 4, Character Assessment (page 15)	Both ancient hedgerows should be included.
2	Babergh District Council Policies Map and Inset Maps	PC agree with the first three bullet points that the Key on page 51 needs updating to Lawshall Street Special Character Area (LWL 14) Community Facilities (LWL 18) Public Rights of Way (LWL 19)
2	Babergh District Council Policies Map and Inset Maps	PC agree with the points about LWL 5 Settlement Boundary, the addition of Important Views LWL 8 and LWL 15.
2	Babergh District Council Appendix 4	PC agree the deletion of the grammatical error identified.
5	Anglian Water	Lawshall Parish Council thanks Anglian Water for their comments, which are duly noted.
10	Resident – Livall	The PC thanks Mr Livall for his detailed comments. The views were chosen by local residents on a community walk. As he will know, the view that has been added is the one he requested of Frithy Wood. The errors and discrepancies in the review are covered by Babergh District Council and have been responded to by Lawshall Parish Council.
11	Resident - Squirrell	Harts Green is correctly identified as a hamlet as part of the general character of Lawshall. It is shown on the Policies Map and in the Character Assessment where it is mentioned by name. It is described more fully on page 14 of the Character Assessment. Hamlet is only used as a descriptive name not as a formal designation. In the 2017 NHP it was not classified as a cluster because it did not have eight or more dwellings like Melon Green. Neither Harts Green nor Melon Green have a Settlement Boundary for the same reason.