

Matter 9 – Allocation Sites for Housing and Other Development and Settlement Boundaries

(Part 3 of plan – Place and Allocations Policies)

9.1 Are the sites allocated for housing and other development in policies LS01(1-90) and LA001 – LA119 soundly-based; are the criteria and requirements set out in the relevant policies justified and effective; and is there evidence that the development of the allocations is viable and deliverable in the timescales indicated in the Housing Trajectory set out at Appendix 01 of the plan?

It is our intention to be deliver our observations on specific sites directly or virtually.

[Note: in responding to this question please be clear about the site(s) you are referring to using the site name referred to in the plan and the relevant "LA" number reference (eg LP045: Land south of Tamage Road, Action) or the Policy LS01 list number (eg LS01(45): Cotton: Land north of Mendlesham Road)]

9.5 Is the "contributions to the satisfaction of the LPA, towardsxxxx" wording used in many of the LAXXX policies justified and effective? Would "contributions towardsxxxx, to ensure that the development is acceptable in planning terms" be more appropriate?

We would consider the present wording appropriate as the suggested option is more subjective, open to opinion and legal challenge that might make it unenforceable.

9.6 Are the settlement boundaries as shown on the policies map justified and effective?

As they stand at present yes