

## Holbrook Neighbourhood Plan – REG16 Consultation

### Comments by Holbrook Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group (HNPSG) on REG16 representations – 3rd February 2022

Serial	Respondent	Holbrook Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group comment
1	Suffolk County Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Important Views:</b> The views are taken from the point at which the arrow joins the body of the shape and therefore they are all within the Neighbourhood Area. We can look at the notation in the next version of the plan to make a clearer symbol if required.</li> <li>• <b>Local Green Spaces:</b> No objections to LGS at Holbrook Gardens to be broken down into smaller constituent LGS if required or removed as it is covered by other designations. The supporting text could also refer to the AONB and CWS designations.</li> </ul>
2	Babergh District Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>JLP References:</b> Option 2 would seem the most sensible given the timescale for Part 2 of the JLP which could further change. Agree to review with BDC on a case-by-case basis.</li> <li>• <b>Numbering:</b> Errors can be corrected in the next version of the plan</li> <li>• <b>Policy HNP02 –</b> The proposed new wording is not supported as it is felt that it does not cover the breadth of issues that the original policy is trying to cover nor does it make it clear that such schemes need to be compatible with other HNP policies e.g. HNP01. Policy HNP02 is aimed at schemes of all sizes not just major developments. The original policy did not refer to exception sites and the AECOM site options assessment concludes that there is limited scope for new development – both market and for exception sites.</li> <li>• <b>Policy HNP05 Design –</b> no objection to proposed amendments.</li> <li>• <b>Policy HNP06: Views</b> 9 and 10 are not the same view. View 9 looks towards across the reservoir whilst View 10 is taken from the dam wall of the reservoir. The suggested photo of view 14 is correct and this view was missed in error.</li> <li>• <b>Policy HNP10:</b> No objection to proposed amendments and would appreciate assistance with a map.</li> <li>• <b>Policy HNP13 Biodiversity –</b> no objections to proposed amendments.</li> </ul>

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Policy HNP14: Local Green Spaces:</b> See response to Anglian Water and SCC. 8, 11 and 13. No objection to them being removed if covered by other designations.</li> <li>• <b>Miscellaneous:</b> No objections to proposed amendments</li> </ul>
3.	Natural England	Noted
4.	Historic England	Noted
5.	Anglian Water	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Alton Water:</b> No objection to removal as covered by other designations</li> </ul>
6.	National Highways	Noted
7.	MOD	Noted
8.	Sport England	Noted
9.	Hooton	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Corrections:</b> No objection to correcting the factual errors as suggested</li> <li>• <b>Additional Local Green Space:</b> Stag Beetle Reserve – no objections to this being included as an LGS if the Examiner is minded to include it but mindful it was not the subject of previous consultation or landowner contact by the HNPSG.</li> </ul>
10.	Lawson Planning	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Policy HNP04</b> and supporting text/map suggested changes: No objections.</li> </ul>
11.	Savills	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Land bid in respect of Site 1 (East of Ipswich Road) in the Site Options Assessment.</b> This site was considered during the preparation of the Neighbourhood Plan and through the AECOM site options assessment and the site was ruled out for the reasons outlined in the Plan and the Site Options Assessment. It is not considered necessary to allocate this site and there is no information contained in this representation which would alter that view.</li> </ul>
12.	Strutt and Parker	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Land Bid in respect of Land at Hyams Lane:</b> Table 4 of the BMSJLP indicates the housing requirement figure for Holbrook Neighbourhood Area is 7 new dwellings. Babergh Council reinforced this view in September 2021, in the JLP Spatial Distribution Statement <a href="https://www.babergh.gov.uk/spatial-distribution-statement">Spatial Distribution Statement (babergh.gov.uk)</a>. The letter to the Neighbourhood Plan Groups from Babergh in December 2021, (Neighbourhood Plan Briefing Note) made it clear that Neighbourhood Plan Groups should produce plans within accordance with these indicative numbers. It is not considered necessary to allocate this site and there is no information contained in this representation which would alter that view.</li> </ul>